The National Broadcasting Company Presents



MEET THE PRESS

America's Press Conference of the Aix

Produced by LAWRENCE E. SPIVAK

Guest: DR. CHEDDI JAGAN
Prime Minister of British Guiana

Volume 5

SUNDAY, OCTOBER 15, 1961

Number 40

Merkle Press Inc.

Printers and Periodical Publishers

809 Channing Street N.E., Washington 18, D.C.

10 cents per copy

TED POSTON, The New York Post
TAD SZULC, The New York Times
LAWRENCE E. SPIVAK, Permanent Panel Member

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

Maderatar: NED BROOKS

Permission is hereby granted to news media and magazines to reproduce in whole or in part. Credit to NBC's MEET THE PRESS will be appreciated.

MEET THE PRESS

MR. BROOKS: This is Ned Brooks inviting you to MEET THE PRESS.

Our guest today is Dr. Cheddi Jagan, the newly elected and first Prime Minister of British Guiana, gateway to South America. During his fight for power he became one of the hemisphere's most controversial figures. His recent election created international interest, and it became the subject of heated discussion in the United States Senate.

MB SDIVAK. M. Dime William A. Spirat M. Spi

MR. SPIVAK: Mr. Prime Minister, in a speech he made in the U. S. Senate following your election, Senator Thomas Dodd of Connecticut, said this about your election:

"What it means is that international Communism has succeeded in establishing its first beachhead on the South American continent"

can continent."

Since you are here for economic aid, it seems to me you would want to answer that question. Are you or are you not pro-

DR. JAGAN: Well, Mr. Spivak, let me put it this way: There is a great deal of confusion about this whole question of British Guiana. For instance, the impression over here is that we are moving off from a more or less democratic set up in British Guiana into a dictatorial or Communist set up.

I want to say that in British Guiana thus far we have had

0682890

I am a Socialist. I believe in a planned economy, but I can assure you this will not take precedence over the liberties of my people. that I believe, like most Americans, in the parliamentary system of democracy. We have enshrined in our constitution a bill of great deal of confusion as to definitions and so forth. All I can rights which we expect to honor. All rights, four freedoms are preserved in this. Even property rights are preserved in this. set up a democratic regime in British Guiana. I have said so on Guianese people and democratic processes will not be sacrificed. say is that, so far as I am concerned, the personal liberties of the On the question of Communism as such there is, as you know, a stitution. So that to put my position very clearly, I would say that democratic rights—bill of rights—are written into our conseveral occasions. My party has taken the lead in seeing to it anything but a democratic set up. What I am interested in is to MR. SPIVAK: Do I understand then by what you are saying

understand both words today in relationship to the Soviet Union, that you are neither a Communist, nor pro-Communist, as we

in relationship to Communist China? Is that correct?

parliamentary system of democracy and the methods which are DR. JAGAN: I told you what my beliefs are. I believe in the

adopted in democratic countries.

MR. SPIVAK: You say you are a Socialist, and you have stated on a number of occasions that you are a Marxist. Will

tion, which will result in greater distribution of wealth in favor ownership of the means of production, exchange and distribumeans of production should be in the hands of the State—public this sense I have said on several occasions that I believe in Marxism. I am a Socialist in the sense that I believe that the differs from the Marxism of Communism?

DR. JAGAN: I have been a student of people like Professor you tell us in what fundamental way, for example, your Marxism Laski. I have been greatly influenced by his writings, and in

of the poor and also will mean the participation of the people themselves in the whole process of government—in the admin-

of the Soviet Union insist they are democrats also, that theirs is a real democracy. What we would like to get, and what is important, I think, for the people in this country to know, is where you stand on some of these issues, because you have been quoted as saying in some of your speeches in the past, for example, "My idea was to show the members of this Council that a direct answer on some of these questions. The Communists istration, in factories, in other levels of government.

MR. SPIVAK: Mr. Prime Minister, you seem to be avoiding in theory Socialism and Communism are the same." That is in the world today between Communism and western democracy? why I ask you, where do you stand on this fundamental division

> developed country in the world generally. day circumstances develop either my country or an underwonderful for, say, the United States of America, will in present democracy. In the economic field I do not believe in capitalism. my objective obviously is national independence for my country. difference between politics and economics. In the political field I do not believe that free enterprise, which may have been very In the political field, I am wedded, dedicated to parliamentary DR. JAGAN: Let me put it this way. There is in my view a

there must be perforce a great deal of planning, the government taking a bolder hold of the economy of the country so that they I feel that in countries such as this in the economic realm

can move forward.

economy. In this sense I am interested in what is happening in this country economists now are concerned about this question there as I am interested in what is happening everywhere. Even On the question of the Soviet bloc as such, there is a planned

of rate of growth, as you know.

MR. SPIVAK: Do you believe there is freedom in the Soviet believe there is freedom there, and do you say that you will have Union and in Communist China, which is the big issue? Do you

in your country essentially the same kind of freedom?

question, an expert, apparently, who writes for the London Observer—I can't recall his name right now, but he has said in DR. JAGAN: All I can say—I haven't been to China, I haven't been to Russia, but the experts who have been there who have said—for instance, you have this chap who is a writer on this day better and better. The standards of living are improving his latest book that life in the Soviet Union is growing day by

dom as we understand it in the Western democracies? and as such, we are concerned. We want to know how this is done. MR. SPIVAK: I am talking about freedom, Mr. Prime Minister. Do you believe that there is freedom of speech in the Soviet Union? Freedom of worship in the Soviet Union and free-Do you

showed him visiting some of the outer regions-DR. JAGAN: Freedom of worship, as I am told, there is. In fact, I saw a picture when Nehru visited the Soviet Union which

believe that?

MR. SPIVAK: You believe there is freedom of the press and

freedom of speech?

DR. JAGAN: I can't say fully that there is freedom in the way we understand it in the West.

MR. SZULC: Mr. Prime Minister, now that British Guiana is

do you plan to guide the development of your country in terms development of British Guiana socially and economically? How approaching the point of independence, how do you visualize the of general development—how do you visualize its relationship to

the inter-American system, to the United States, and how in your view may the Cuban phenomenon—the presence of the Cuban revolution so close to your shores—may or may not affect the economic and political development of British Guiana?

DR. JAGAN: You have asked me quite a mouthful there. However, I can say that my country is kept backward today because it has been dominated by colonialism, not only politically but also economically. Independence will give us the right to fashion our economy in the way I feel it should be done so that the standard of living of the people can be raised. This means going in for a balanced industrial and agricultural development. At the moment our economy rests on two products, sugar and bauxite. Eighty percent of the exports of our country come from these two products.

We obviously, for sound, theoretical and practical reasons must go in for industrialization, and I want to have a fully-blown development program which will be carefully balanced in terms of what will go towards agriculture, what will go towards industry and communications and social development, so that in due course we will be able to generate our own wealth for our own future development. The present method of development in British Guiana I cannot see will get us out of the rut of poverty and insecurity.

As regards the future relationship with Latin America, I can say that I feel we will take our place in the hemisphere, coordinating our activities with what is taking place in Latin America and North America. I have already seen to it that our country is associated with the Economic Commission for Latin America. I attended the last conference in Chile, earlier this year. As regards future relationships, particularly keeping in mind what is happening in Cuba, I feel that all of us must keep in mind what is taking place in all countries. My feeling is that we have to learn from what is taking place in every part of the world, take the best of what is going and adopt it to suit our peculiarities and particular circumstances.

MR. SZULC: When British Guiana does gain independence, is it your plan to take her out of the British Commonwealth, make here into a republic or maintain the ties with the Commonwealth?

DR. JAGAN: No, we have always said that we propose to have independence, political independence within the British Commonwealth of Nations, or the Commonwealth of Nations as it is now called. Even if we become a republic as India is, we will still be a member of the Commonwealth of Nations.

MR. POSTON: Mr. Prime Minister, The New York Times quoted you as saying in a lecture you gave here Friday, "Political independence is a worthless thing, a mirage, if it is not accom-

panied by economic independence." You have said that you are going to ask for independence as soon as possible—political independence from England.

Is the economic position of Guiana such that political independence there wouldn't be a mirage at this time?

DR. JAGAN: Yes, I would say that because, as I said before, 80 percent of our economy is represented by two products and both of these industries are in foreign hands, which means a great outflow of capital every year. Taken over a long-term period there may be a greater net outflow of capital compared with what is coming in.

This is a picture, generally, of most under-developed countries, if you take South America, if you take Asia or Africa. In Latin America, for instance, in the ten-year period, the decade 1945 to 1954, there is a net outgo of capital amounting to \$3½ billion. In one year, 1954, Latin America was borrowing approximately a little over \$500 million and was paying out precisely the same amount in interest and capital on loans which were made previously. If this sort of thing goes on you will not have development, and this is what I am concerned about. In other words, if we have money, if we have help and assistance from outside, we must be free to put it, after we have been scientifically advised how to spend it, on the sectors which will generate wealth more rapidly, so that we don't have, all the time, to go and borrow money from the outside.

MR. POSTON: You have indicated that you want some financial help from American and Canadian sources. Do you still want that?

DR. JAGAN: Certainly.

MR. POSTON: What kind of help would you like, and why do you think that they should invest in Guiana?

DR. JAGAN: I would liike the United States and Canada, indeed every government which is in a position to help, to help us to put our economy in a sound position—not because they owe us anything, but because the gap in living standards today between rich and poor countries is getting wider, and this is resulting in unrest, social unrest on an international scale. This is resulting in revolutions and upheavals and unrest and all the associated things which go with that—with the result, I think that it is in the interest of developed countries like the United States of America, England and so on, to help people like us. Not only from the point of view that it is good for us—it is also good for the developed countries because the more we develop, the more will be the demands for manufactured goods, plants and equipment and so on.

MR. PERKINS: Dr. Jagan, I would like to pick up two state-

should remain in your country is the struggle between the ex-Guiana. How do you feel on those now? ploiters and the exploited. You also in the past have suggested your election August 31, you said that the only struggle which you might be willing or eager to nationalize industry in British feelings on them. First, in a victory speech in Georgetown ofter ments you have made in the past and ask you about your current

the economy, in the future when British Guiana is developed this will be a tiny, insignificant sector, in my point of view. nationalize, and will pay adequate and fair compensation should we exercise our sovereign right to nationalize. This is our point of agreement, discussions with the owners. We have paid compensation for this. We have said also that in terms of British of view. In other words, for the time being we don't see the necessity to nationalize. British Guiana is an under-developed country. ent, like India and other countries, we reserve the right to we are not concerned about the nationalization of sugar and with the great effort to develop, our hands will be full for some Guiana's position today, which needs vast sums of money, and private enterprise. We have already taken over one industry. That is the electricity undertaking. This was done on the basis While sugar and bauxite play the major role, indeed dominate time to come with this new sector of development, and therefore fore, by private enterprise. The major industries are owned by DR. JAGAN: There are a few industries owned, as I said be-But as a sovereign country when we become independ-

gested that perhaps your country should be called Booker's 80 percent of the sugar which is your main product and, indeed Booker's owns a lot in your country. In fact, it has been sug-MR. PERKINS: Now Bookers Ltd., a British company, owns

DR. JAGAN: Right.

of sugar. If the British decided not to subsidize it, what would you do? sugar if the British Government weren't subsidizing the price business? Booker's claims it wouldn't be in business at all in alize Booker's, the sugar industry, do you think you can keep in MR. PERKINS: If the time comes that you decide to nation-

DR. JAGAN: If we are a member of the Commonwealth and the Commonwealth doesn't disintegrate, if Britain doesn't scuttle it in the meantime, by joining the Common Market, then I see no owns it or whether it is owned by the government of British reason why the same condition shouldn't apply, whether Booker's

tled if Britain joined the Common Market? MR. PERKINS: You think the Commonwealth would be scut-

same." Do you still believe that? ing to the definition of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin is only the advanced stage of socialism. My idea was to show the members of in 1953, but I would like to check whether you still believe that: the Council that in theory socialism and communism are the anything about economic theory know that Communism accord-27, 1953, you were quoted as saying this: "Those who know In a speech you made before the Legislative Council on February DR. JAGAN: This is my feeling, yes.
MR. SPIVAK: I don't mean to hold you to something you said

needs. Each one will contribute according to his ability, and from my point of view this is good. This is a good thing that all persons should get from society what they need, regardless of whether he is a cripple or whether he is able to produce more sons were supposed to share equally, and so I see the Communists say in that period to come there will be distribution according to say from what I have read from the text books, socialism means Under communism as under the early Christian setup, all perability, to each according to his labor, the work that he gives or the slogan under socialism is, from each according to his DR. JAGAN: If I may elaborate that a little, I would like to

country, and nothing is there for development. should be wallowing in poverty. You have in many countries to-day the terrible distribution of wealth. Even in my country if agree that some people should own vast sums of money and other are wealthy with the result they dissipate the surplus of the tion of wealth. You have conspicuous consumption of those who countries you will find the same thing:-very unequal distribuyou will study the statistics you will find-in most capitalist This I believe you will believe in too, because I am sure you don't

equality of distribution? exactly the same as the poorest peasant? Do you think there is MR. SPIVAK: Mr. Prime Minister, do you believe in the Communist countries today, in the Soviet Union, there is equal distribution of wealth—that Mr. Khrushchev, for example, lives

mean—or rather, a man earning more than another doesn't necessarily mean he is a capitalist. You have a worker working in you have some workers earning more than others, but this a state factory—in my electric enterprise which is nationalized doesn't mean they become capitalists. this is what they say. Capitalism, of course, doesn't necessarily DR. JAGAN: No, they feel the system of capitalism is an evil

a constitution and that your constitution provides liberty and to your constitution? freedom and protects the people. I presume you intend to live up MR. SPIVAK: I believe a few minutes ago you said you have

DR. JAGAN: Of course.

dustry and probably the lumber industry anderal Constitution of the U.S. A." And yet, if I remember, a few right to private property along the lines established in the Fedare in favor of "the fundamental rights of citizens, including minutes ago you said you planned to nationalize the sugar in-MR. SPIVAK: In your recent official biography it states you

we reserve the right if we want to do so, to nationalize. This is a bauxite, the major industries, but I say as a sovereign country to nationalize—we do not intend to nationalize the sugar and the DR. JAGAN: Let me correct you. I said we do not have plans

right every country has.

MR. SPIVAK: But in the book you wrote in '54 you definitely

said you would nationalize.

As I said, our hands will be full with the further development of ation very comprehensively, I don't see the necessity right now. DR. JAGAN: At the present time, having looked at the situ-

British Guiana.

and in the Soviet bloc? Do you see the Soviet bloc as a potential and trade in the rest of the world, specifically in the Soviet Union customer for your sugar? do you feel that she should as well go and look for assistance American system, participating in the Alliance for Progress, or fine herself to incorporating and receiving aid from the interof British Guiana, do you feel that British Guiana should con-MR. SZULC: Dr. Jagan, in terms of the future development

they have told me, "You can't join. You are not independent. Come back when you are free." bloc and the Alliance for Progress, I have already said that we are prepared to join the OAS. In fact, I have made approaches, and with Cuban sugar at the moment, but as regards Inter-American DR. JAGAN: I am sure the Soviet Union has its hands full

once they are prepared to go in for a program of social and economic reform. This is what I am prepared to do. In British Guiana of social economic reform. you are all invited to come, and some of you have come. You will from nepotism, and we intend to go in for a full-blooded program know we have a democratic regime free from corruption, free Kennedy's tenets that aid should be given to all these countries As regards the Alliance for Progress, I agree with President

election settled on strictly racial lines? Sydney King who is head of the African Society for Racial Equality in Guiana has charged in letters to the UN and to the U.S. Negroes in your country. Is there any truth to that? Was the that your election was really a numerical triumph of Indians over MR. POSTON: Dr. Jagan, to get to politics for a moment,

DR. JAGAN: This is not true because while obviously there is

and in these three constituencies we won, there was definitely an Indian minority. We don't fight for Indians. Indeed in the combined, you will find 19 Indians only. So it is not true when are only 16 out of 35. In the Senate and the Legislative Assembly members there, a minority only are Indians. For instance, there opposition party there was an Indian who was a landlord who Sidney King says Indians are dominating the political scene we opposed for many, many years. If you see that of the 35 I only fight for Indians and that I only get the support of Indians. This is not true. My support comes from the initely a non-Indian majority. In other words—they claim that which were marginal, and in those constituencies there was defsome bit of racialism in the country, the fact is that the crucial This is not true. point of the election was to be decided on three constituencies

a member of a Communist front organization, had been until MR. JENKINS: Your wife who in Chicago was accorded to be

August 21 a member of your government.

need skilled politicians, and we want to have more people to put backward countries, we need not only skilled technicians, but we their hands behind the wheel. them out, to give them experience. After all, in colonial countries, DR. JAGAN: Oh well, we are putting in other people to try

tions. Thank you very much, Dr. Jagan, for being with us. MR. BROOKS: At this point we will have to suspend our ques-

The Proceedings of

MEET THE PRESS

as broadcast nationwide by the National Broadcasting Company, Inc., are printed and made available to the public to further interest in impartial discussions of questions affecting the public welfare. Transcripts may be obtained by sending a stamped, self addressed envelope and ten cents for each copy to:

Merkle Press Inc., 809 Channing Street, N. E. Washington 18, D. C.

MEET THE PRESS is telecast every Sunday over the NBC Television Network. This program originated from the NBC Studios in New York City.

Radio Broadcast 6:30 P.M. EDT Television Broadcast 6:00 P.M. EDT

